

NON-DIVERGENCE OF GRAVITATIONAL SELF INTERACTIONS FOR NAMBU-GOTO STRINGS

Brandon Carter¹ and Richard A. Battye²

¹ *Department d'Astrophysique Relativiste et de Cosmologie,
Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique,
Observatoire de Paris, 92195 Meudon Cedex, France.*

² *Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,
University of Cambridge,
Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW, U.K.*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The classical linearised gravitational self interaction of a Nambu-Goto string is examined in four spacetime dimensions. Using a conveniently gauge independent tensorial treatment, the divergent part of the self-force is shown to be exactly zero. This is due to cancelation by a contribution that was neglected in the previous treatments. This result has implications for many applications.

Relativistic string models are of importance in many high energy physics contexts. Cosmic strings formed at a cosmological phase transitions may have been the primordial seeds for galaxy formation [1], while fundamental

strings at the Planck scale are at the heart of Superstring theory [2] and the duality driven revolution known as M-theory. The simplest prototype in all these contexts is the Nambu-Goto model. However, even at classical level the fundamental self interaction properties of Nambu-Goto strings are still not properly understood.

Long before Dirac's definitive study [3] in the 1930's it was already well known that the electromagnetic self interactions of a classical point particle gave rise to a divergent self energy, but that this could be renormalized into the mass, leaving a finite radiation reaction effect. The same basic concepts have since been found to be applicable to the self interactions of particles, and of strings, when coupled to a variety of fields including gravity [4, 5]. However the evaluation of gravitational self interactions is rather delicate, one of reasons being that the metric is often hidden, for example, in the induced metric of the worldsheet. In this work, we use powerful gauge independent tensorial notation, in which the dependence on the metric is explicit, to re-compute the divergent part of gravitational self interaction for linearized gravity. Contrary to what emerged from previous treatments of this problem, we find that it is zero for Nambu-Goto strings, though it would be non-zero for strings models [6] of a more general kind.

Strings can be described by spacetime coordinates $x^\mu = X^\mu(\sigma^a)$, where $a = 0, 1$ and σ^a are the internal coordinates on the 2-dimensional worldsheet. The induced metric on this worldsheet is then

$$\gamma_{ab} = g_{\mu\nu} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu, \quad (1)$$

and its energy-momentum tensor is

$$\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}(x^\mu) = \|g\|^{-1/2} \int \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} \delta^4[x - X(\sigma^a)] \|\gamma\|^{1/2} d^2\sigma, \quad (2)$$

where $\|g\|$ is the determinant of the spacetime metric, $\|\gamma\|$ is that of the induced metric and $\bar{T}^{\mu\nu}$ is the regular worldsheet supported tensor field representing the surface energy-momentum density.

One can define the fundamental tensor of the worldsheet, that is, the spacetime projection of the internal metric tensor γ^{ab} , which is given by

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} = \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu. \quad (3)$$

This fundamental tensor allows one to set up a convenient formalism for describing the dynamics strings in a manner that is independent the choice of

the internal coordinate gauge. Firstly, one can define its orthogonal complement

$$\perp_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

and the tangentially projected differentiation operator $\bar{\nabla}_\mu = \eta_\mu^\nu \nabla_\nu$ for tensor fields whose support is confined to the worldsheet. Using this projected differentiation one can define the second fundamental tensor and curvature vector by

$$K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \eta_{\sigma\nu} \bar{\nabla}_\mu \eta^{\sigma\rho}, \quad K^\rho = g^{\mu\nu} K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho. \quad (5)$$

Using the orthogonality of $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\perp_{\mu\nu}$, one can derive the following elementary properties of the second fundamental tensor:

$$\perp^{\sigma\mu} K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = 0, \quad \eta_{\sigma\rho} K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = 0, \quad K_{[\mu\nu]}{}^\rho = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu \eta_{\nu\rho} = 2K_{\mu(\nu\rho)}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_\mu \eta^{\mu\rho} = K^\rho, \quad (7)$$

where round and square brackets denote index symmetrization and antisymmetrization respectively.

The simplest kind of model is governed by the Nambu-Goto action, which takes the simple form

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{GN}} = -m^2 \int \|\gamma\|^{1/2} d^2\sigma, \quad (8)$$

where m is a constant, having the dimensions of mass. In the case of a cosmic string model providing a macroscopic description of a vortex defect of the vacuum, m would typically be of the order of magnitude of the Higgs mass scale associated with relevant spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism and m^2 would correspond to the mass per unit length. For this model the relevant surface energy-momentum tensor that is required for substitution in (2) will be given simply by

$$\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} = -T \eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (9)$$

where the scalar T is the string tension, which will be given by

$$T = m^2. \quad (10)$$

Using the tensorial notation described above the equation of motion for the free Nambu-Goto string is just

$$TK^\rho = 0, \quad (11)$$

which is the analogue of Newton's 2nd law of motion. In the more complicated worldsheet notation

$$K^\rho = \|\gamma\|^{-1/2} \partial_a \left(\|\gamma\|^{1/2} \gamma^{ab} \partial_b X^\rho \right) + \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\alpha \partial_b X^\beta. \quad (12)$$

In Minkowski coordinates using the conformal gauge, with $\dot{} = \partial/\partial\tau$, $' = \partial/\partial\sigma$ where $\tau = \sigma^0$ and $\sigma = \sigma^1$ is the space coordinate along the string, the curvature vector has the form $K^\mu = \|\gamma\|^{-1/2} (\ddot{X}^\mu - X^{\mu''})$ so the equation of motion reduces to the well known form $\ddot{X}^\mu - X^{\mu''} = 0$.

The linearized Einstein equations describe the coupling of the string to gravity in the weak field limit. Using a perturbation $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}$ and the usual De Donder gauge condition $\nabla^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\nu h$ with $h = g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$, the Dalemertian wave equation is

$$\square h^{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G (2\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} - \hat{T} g^{\mu\nu}), \quad (13)$$

where $\square = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu$, $\hat{T} = g^{\mu\nu} \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$ and G is Newton's constant. As usual, when dealing with a singular source distribution, for which the self interaction contribution will be divergent on the worldsheet, it will be convenient to consider the total field as the sum of a divergent local contribution, $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ say, and a finite remainder $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}$ due to long range interactions and passing radiation from outside the system, in the form

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (14)$$

In four spacetime dimensions, the divergence of a point particle would be a pole, while in the case of a string it will be logarithmic. Therefore, if we introduce a short range cut-off δ_* and also one at long distances Δ , then divergence of the point particle is dominated by the short range, ultra-violet cut-off, while the logarithmic nature of the divergence in the case of a string, makes the strength of divergence dependent on the ratio of the two cut-offs. In the case of a string, the short range cut off δ_* is provided by the width of the string, which for a cosmic string will typically be of order m^{-1} assuming natural units, while the long range cut-off will be provided by the radius of curvature or inter-string separation. In higher dimensions the situation is more complicated, but nonetheless it should be possible to take into account the relevant divergences by some function of δ_* and Δ .

The standard Green function solution of (13) provides an estimate for the divergent part of the solution which is of the form

$$\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = -2G (2\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} - \bar{T}g_{\mu\nu}) \log (\Delta^2/\delta_*^2) , \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{T} = g^{\mu\nu}\bar{T}_{\mu\nu}$. Using the specific expression for a Nambu-Goto string this becomes

$$\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = -4G T \perp_{\mu\nu} \log (\Delta^2/\delta_*^2) , \quad (16)$$

whose important feature is that it is proportional to the orthogonal projection operator $\perp_{\mu\nu}$. This orthogonality property is the special feature of Goto Nambu strings (as opposed to point particles, membranes, or more general string models) which is ultimately responsible for the cancellation to be described below.

To evaluate the dynamical effect of the perturbing field it is also necessary to know its gradient. By a standard calculation, of which simpler illustrations are provided by the cases of scalar [7] and electromagnetic [8] interactions, it can be seen that for the divergent part given by (15), the corresponding regularised limit of the gradient is expressible as

$$\widehat{\nabla_\rho h_{\mu\nu}} = \bar{\nabla}_\rho \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} K_\rho \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} . \quad (17)$$

Up to this point everything we have written here has long been well known and generally agreed [1], the only innovation being its translation into more modern and streamlined tensorial notation. Our difference from preceding analysis arises when we come to the relevant gravitationally perturbed dynamical equations.

To get the corresponding gravitationally perturbed dynamical equations, we start from the appropriate gravitationally perturbed action

$$\mathcal{I} = -T \int (1 + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}) \|\gamma\|^{1/2} d^2\sigma , \quad (18)$$

that is obtained by making the linearized substitution $g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ in (8). Requiring (18) to be locally invariant with respect to small variations of the world sheet leads to dynamical equation of the standard form

$$TK^\rho = -f_g^\rho , \quad (19)$$

where the f_g^ρ is the effective gravitational force density vector acting on the worksheet.

It is in the evaluation of this force density that we deviate from the traditional formula (see, for example, ref. [1]), which is expressible simply as

$$f_{\text{trad}}^\rho = T \eta^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_\mu h_\nu^\rho - \tfrac{1}{2} \nabla^\rho h_{\mu\nu}). \quad (20)$$

The use of this formula may be justified if it is understood to describe not a force in the strict vectorial sense but a pseudo force applicable in a special gauge, very similar to, but not exactly the conformal gauge. However, such an approach involves delicate subtleties that can be and have been a source of error. Using a more robust strictly tensorial treatment that does not depend on any particular internal gauge (conformal or otherwise) in the string, we actually obtain [9], by proceeding carefully step by step through the variation of (18), an expression of the form

$$f_g^\rho = f_I^\rho + f_{\text{II}}^\rho, \quad (21)$$

with

$$f_I^\rho = \perp^\rho{}_\nu f_{\text{trad}}^\nu, \quad (22)$$

and

$$f_{\text{II}}^\rho = T (\perp^{\rho\nu} K^\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} K^\rho - K^{\mu\nu\rho}) h_{\mu\nu}. \quad (23)$$

The use – in place of the true force f_g^ρ in (19) – of the traditional pseudo force f_{trad}^ρ given by (20) as if it were a genuinely vectorial quantity would be not just physically inexact but also mathematically inadmissible, since it would lead to an overdetermined system of equations of motion. The problem is analogous to the that which arises when considering the effect of a scalar field ϕ on a point particle with unit 4-velocity u^μ and acceleration $a^\mu = u^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu$. If, instead of the mathematically admissible equation of motion $a^\mu = \nabla^\mu \phi + u^\mu u_\nu \nabla^\nu \phi$, one simply took $a^\mu = \nabla^\mu \phi$, it would be incompatible with the identity $a_\mu u^\mu = 0$.

In order to be consistent with the orthogonality property

$$K^\rho \eta_\rho{}^\sigma = 0, \quad (24)$$

of the curvature vector, which follows [9], as a mathematical identity, it is necessary that the force term on the right of (19), should have a similar

orthogonality property. This requirement of vanishing tangential projection is automatically satisfied by the separate contributions f_I^ρ and f_{II}^ρ , and hence by f_g^ρ , but it is not satisfied by f_{trad}^ρ .

The reason why the problem with (20) was not noticed earlier may be that the special, purely orthogonal, form of (16) ensures that the divergent contribution $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ does not engender any unacceptable tangential component in the corresponding divergent force term, which is obtainable (using (17) or otherwise) in the well known form

$$\hat{f}_{\text{trad}}^\rho = -4G T^2 K^\rho \log(\Delta^2/\delta_*^2) . \quad (25)$$

Unlike the corresponding finite part $\tilde{f}_{\text{trad}}^\rho$, this does after all satisfy the orthogonality requirement. This means that for the divergent contribution from f_I^ρ the orthogonal projection in (22) will have no effect, so it will be given by the same formula,

$$\hat{f}_I^\rho = \tilde{f}_{\text{trad}}^\rho . \quad (26)$$

The formula (25) is what is traditionally quoted [4, 5, 1] for the divergent part of the gravitational self force and it is evidently interpretable as representing the effect of a tension adjustment $\Delta_I T = -4G T^2 \log(\Delta^2/\delta_*^2)$.

We now come to the crucial point, which is that this adjustment will be precisely cancelled by the corresponding contribution $\Delta_{\text{II}} T$ from the previously overlooked force term f_{II}^ρ , whose divergent part can be seen, by substituting (16) in (23), to be given by

$$\hat{f}_{\text{II}}^\rho = -\hat{f}_I^\rho . \quad (27)$$

Thus the total force density due to the divergent part of the self field will vanish,

$$\hat{f}_g^\rho = 0 , \quad (28)$$

so no net renormalisation is needed after all: $\Delta_g T = \Delta_I T + \Delta_{\text{II}} T = 0$. The practical implication is that the dynamical equations will be obtainable *in a well behaved form* just by replacing f_g^ρ in (19) by its finite part $\tilde{f}_g^\rho$, which is to be evaluated by retaining just the finite part of $h_{\mu\nu}$ in (22) and (23), that is, the contribution from $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$.

It has been argued [10] in the context of quantised superstring theory that there should be no string tension renormalisation in the relevant low

energy classical limit, which involves not just gravitational coupling but also dilatonic and axonic contributions. If this is correct, then our theorem to the effect that the gravitational part vanishes by itself implies, as a corollary, that the appropriately calibrated axionic and dilatonic parts should be mutually cancelling, a prediction that has recently been confirmed directly [11].

Although absent in the Nambu-Goto case, a non trivial renormalisation will be needed for the transonic string model [6] that represents the macroscopically averaged effect of wiggles in an underlying Goto-Nambu model. A preliminary analysis of the general case has already been undertaken [12] and a more complete treatment is in preparation.

The authors wish to thank Thibault Damour, Patrick Peter, and Paul Shellard for instructive conversations. RAB is funded by Trinity College.

References

- [1] A. Vilenkin and E.P.S. Shellard, *Cosmic strings and other topological defects* (Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- [2] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory : Volumes 1 and 2* (Cambridge University Press, 1987).
- [3] P.A.M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A167**, 148 (1938).
- [4] J.M. Quashnock and D.N. Spergel, *Phys. Rev.* **D42**, 2505 (1990).
- [5] E. Copeland, D. Haws and M. Hindmarsh, *Phys. Rev.* **D42**, 726 (1990).
- [6] B. Carter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 3098 (1995).
- [7] Z. Kakushadze, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **10**, 619 (1993).
- [8] B. Carter, *Phys. Lett.* **B404**, 246 (1997).
- [9] R. A. Battye and B. Carter, *Phys. Lett.* **B357**, 29 (1995).
- [10] A. Dabholkar and J.A. Harvey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 478 (1989).
- [11] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, hep-th/9803025.
- [12] B. Carter, hep-th/9802019.